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Objectives completed.

1Carole Engle moved to the University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff, but continued to participate in the project on an informal basis.
MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

The Work Group began work in April, 1988, immediately upon receiving formal notice that the project was approved. The first task was to design and pre-test three different questionnaires for use in national surveys of households (consumers), restaurants, and retail grocery stores. The next tasks accomplished were deciding on an appropriate regional delineation of the U.S., sample size, sample quotas, and selecting a private telemarketing firm to develop random samples of telephone numbers and conduct the interviews. The surveys, representing 3600 households, 1800 grocery stores and 1800 restaurants, were completed in July, 1988. Even though the telemarketing firm provided initial editing of survey data, it became necessary that the Work Group group further edit and remove illogical responses from the database. The Work Group agreed the database was “clean” and ready for analysis by November, 1988.

During the following four months, preliminary results of the surveys were presented to several aquaculture organizations in participating states, to Catfish Farmers of America and to an organized symposia, Southern Agricultural Economics Association. Survey results presented at these meetings are contained in a bulletin titled “The U.S. Market for Farm-Raised Catfish: An Overview of Consumer, Supermarket and Restaurant Surveys” (Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin No. 925, September, 1990).

A total of 8 research bulletins, 11 journal articles and 17 papers and other articles have been prepared from this research (see list of publications). Following is a summary of some highlights of this research, by objective.

Objective 1: To obtain and analyze comprehensive market information from consumers, retail grocery stores and restaurants.

The survey of consumers revealed that catfish is no longer (if it ever was) a product consumed primarily by low income, poorly educated persons living primarily in the deep South. Catfish is now being consumed in significant quantities by persons of all income and education levels, nearly all race and ethnic backgrounds, and in all major regions of the U.S., although a majority of consumption is still in the traditional consuming area. Changes in attitudes and perceptions of farm-raised catfish were evident from the survey. Differences in consumer ratings of catfish across regions are present, but not as large as anticipated. Although industry advertising and promotional programs are relatively new, they obviously have had an impact and should be continued at the highest level feasible. Many consumers outside the traditional catfish consuming region perceive that catfish are not readily available. Catfish received relatively low ratings on appearance and packaging, odor, and having few bones. These misconceptions should be addressed in future advertising and educational programs. Attributes of catfish that received relatively high ratings were nutritional value, flavor, and ease of preparation. Catfish were not perceived as being over priced relative to other fish and meat. Marketers should take advantage of these favorable attributes.

Attempts to identify a profile for catfish consumers met with only limited success, mainly because catfish are being consumed in varying quantities by consumers in nearly all demographic categories studied. The majority of catfish consumers live in the four central regions of the U.S. Among occupational categories, households having a head classified as professional contained the largest number of catfish consumers. The household income category having the largest number of catfish consumers was $20,000 to $30,000. A majority
of catfish consumers were white Protestants living in households containing 2-3 persons. A higher percentage of catfish consumers were 20-39 year-olds than any other age group. A majority of catfish consumers had education levels of high school graduate, or above.

The national survey of grocery stores consisted of a random sample drawn from a population of 143,673 stores nationwide (did not include convenience stores). The survey revealed 45% of stores nationwide offered some form of catfish -- ranging from a low of 27% in New England to a high of 59% in the West South Central division of the U.S. Twenty-one percent of store managers interviewed who did not sell catfish stated they were likely to add it in the next year. Given the number of stores nationwide, this suggests much potential for market expansion.

Generally, store characteristics associated with an increased likelihood of selling catfish included: (1) members of a chain; (2) having a specialized fish market section; and (3) sales of more than $100,000 per month. Eighteen percent of the store managers reported that the national advertising campaign for catfish influenced their decision to add catfish to their product line. Regional impact of the national advertising campaign on catfish product adoption was greatest in the South Atlantic and Mountain regions. Stores in the Pacific and South Atlantic regions reported the largest rate of catfish product adoption for the two year period prior to the time of the survey (a period overlapping The Catfish Institute's generic advertising campaign). Selected variables from the grocery store survey were included in a logit model that produced probabilities of stores adding catfish. Ranking of regional markets was quantified by a market potential index that incorporated the estimated logit probabilities, regional population and the percentage of stores not selling catfish. The top three prospects in terms of new market development, in decreasing order of potential, were found to be the South Atlantic, East North Central and Pacific regions.

Data from the national restaurant survey were used to evaluate market potential for the expanded use of aquaculture products with specific emphasis on developing market information regarding the restaurant use of catfish. Nationwide, 29% of restaurants reported that catfish was included on their menu. Of restaurants not serving catfish, 39% stated that adding catfish would not be difficult, while 19% stated they would consider adding catfish to their menu within the next year (1989). Restaurant managers in the two South Central regions, the South Atlantic region and the Pacific region, expressed the greatest interest in adding catfish to their menus. Outside these regions, unfamiliarity with catfish seemed to be the most important constraint to adding the product in restaurants. The random sample of 1800 restaurants was drawn from a national population of 321,667 full-service restaurants. Assuming the random sample was representative, there were over 40,000 restaurants considering adding catfish to their menu. Regions outside the South which promise the greatest return to catfish market promotion and development expenditures include New England, Middle Atlantic, East North Central and Pacific. Restaurants which characterize their cuisine type as seafood, combination and steak hold the greatest promise for market expansion. Other restaurant characteristics such as location, seating capacity or type of ownership were not statistically significant to be used as a basis for recommendation.

Research at Texas A&M utilized scanner data made available by a retail food firm (43 supermarkets) in Houston to (1) evaluate marketable product forms of catfish and crawfish, (2) and to estimate retail demand relationships for catfish and crawfish. Data were analyzed in econometric models emphasizing
price and advertising elasticities of both fresh and convenience catfish and crawfish products. Price elasticities (percent change in purchases due to unit change in prices) for convenience catfish ranged from -5.5 to -12.8, and from -1.3 to -6.5 for fresh catfish products. The price elasticity of fresh crawfish was -3.3. Cross-price and advertising elasticities were also estimated. The authors warn against generalizing results from this one local market to regional or national levels. This study constitutes a pilot test of use of scanner data to investigate demand for catfish and crawfish products for a local market. The methodology needs to be replicated in other geographic areas.

Objective 2: To assess the effectiveness of advertising and promotion of farm-raised catfish.

Analysis of survey data strongly suggests that advertising and promotion have significantly contributed to the growth in sales of catfish, for both at-home (grocery store sales) and away-from-home (restaurant) consumption. Nationwide, approximately 37% of consumers who had eaten catfish had seen or heard some form of advertising of catfish. Several econometric models were designed to isolate the effect of generic (TCI) advertising. The first model, which contained three equations, showed only a weak statistical significance of advertising, probably the result of the newness of the generic advertising program. A second eight equation model was estimated in an attempt to describe a hierarchy of effects of advertising. Not surprisingly, the results show the nascent advertising program exerting its influence through heightened consumers' awareness and improved perceptions of catfish. A third model, which included generic advertising expenditures as a variable, was used to project wholesale demand for catfish to 1995. This model projected sales in 1995 would be 60 million pounds lower without the assumed annual one million dollars of generic advertising. The demand analysis of scanner data from a local market (Houston, Texas) revealed a significant relationship between advertising and purchases of fresh catfish.

Objective 3: To develop an overall assessment of potential for producing and marketing catfish and crawfish in the Southern Region.

The Work Group generally agrees there is potential for steady growth in the market for both catfish and crawfish. From a purely physical standpoint, the potential for expanding production in the Southern region is great. From an economic standpoint, production will ultimately be limited by both institutional (e.g. environmental, water use regulations) and market (demand) constraints.

There were no findings from this research to suggest the market for farm-raised catfish is nearing saturation, even in traditional consuming areas. If the current trend toward more fish and seafood consumption continues, there is sufficient evidence to suggest catfish can gain a larger market share of the total food budget, provided industry continues to advertise and promote its product. More research is needed to aid catfish marketers in identifying specific market niches where advertising and promotion will be the most cost-effective.

APPLICATION OF RESULTS:

There is tremendous interest in the results of this research. The supply (2000 copies) of the first bulletin printed was exhausted within six months. One catfish processor alone requested 100 copies for use by salesmen and brokers. A long mailing list has been developed from requests for bulletins now in the publication process. The survey results, and other research contained in these bulletins, should
be of much interest to marketers of farm-
raised catfish and crawfish, as well as to their
advertising agents.

The national surveys of households,
grocery stores, and restaurants provide for
the first time a national database on catfish
and crawfish consumption by major regions
of the U.S. Summaries and analyses of the
survey data should be of much interest to
catfish processors, marketers, industry
organizations, and public institutions that
have interest in the continued growth and
development of this industry. Results indicate
much potential for further expansion of the
market, particularly in the South Atlantic,
East North Central, and Pacific regions. While
the research conducted under this project
identified broad areas of market potential,
more detailed surveys of market segments
will be needed to help identify specific catfish
market niches.

The impact of industry advertising and
promotion was studied and found to be
significant. If the industry is to continue
expanding its markets, effective advertising
and promotion will be required.

A pilot study utilizing scanner data from
supermarkets located in Houston, Texas,
produced an own-price demand elasticity for
catfish products that is highly elastic. An
elastic demand suggests that lowering the
retail price would result in greater total
revenue for the industry. Thus, efforts to
further improve efficiency, particularly in
marketing, should continue so that retail
prices are no higher than necessary to main-
tain growth of the industry. This research
was limited to one market, so results may not
lead to drawing broad nationwide or regional
inferences. The methodology used in this
research should be replicated in other
geographic regions.
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Schupp, A., R. Pomeroy, and L. Dellenbarger. “U.S. Food Store Experience in Handling Crawfish.” Approved for publication as Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin.

Journal Articles


Papers


Hatch, L. U. “National Survey of U.S.
Fish Consumption." Proceedings, Aquaculture International Congress and Exposition, Vancouver, Canada, September 1988. (Published in Proceedings)


Other Papers and Publications


Dellenbarger, Lynn E., et al. "Nationwide Grocery Store Market for Crawfish." Article accepted for publication in Louisiana Rural Economist. Published by Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness, Louisiana State University. (No date provided)


Pereira, Carmen. "Nationwide Markets for Crawfish, Shrimp and Lobster in the United States." Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. (In review)

Unpublished Theses


C. Preparation of Southern Regional Aquaculture Publications

Annual Progress Report
For The Period
October 1, 1989 to June 30, 1990

COOPERATING INSTITUTIONS:

Authors

John Jensen - Alabama Cooperative Extension Service
Leroy Gray - Arkansas Cooperative Extension Service
Charles Cichra - Florida Cooperative Extension Service
Thomas Wellborn - Florida Cooperative Extension Service
George Lewis - Georgia Cooperative Extension Service
Ronnie Gilbert - Georgia Cooperative Extension Service
Michael Masser - Kentucky State University (presently with Alabama Cooperative Extension Service)

Larry de la Bretonne - Louisiana Cooperative Extension Service
Gary Jensen - Louisiana Cooperative Extension Service
Joe McGilberry - Mississippi Cooperative Extension Service
Jeffrey Hinshaw - North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service
Ronald Hodson - North Carolina University
Andrew McGinty - Puerto Rico Agricultural Experiment Station
Thomas Schwedler - South Carolina Cooperative Extension Service
Joe T. Lock - Texas Agricultural Extension Service
Billy Higginbotham - Texas Agricultural Extension Service
George Chamberlain - Texas Agricultural Extension Service
Russell Miget - Texas Agricultural Extension Service
James T. Davis - Texas Agricultural Extension Service
James Rakocy - Virgin Islands Agricultural Experiment Station

Reviewers - In addition to the above

Mac V. Rawson - Georgia Marine Cooperative Extension Service
Robert Romaine - Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station
Guthrie Perry - Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries
Edwin Robinson - Mississippi Agricultural Experiment Station
Martin Brunson - Mississippi Cooperative Extension Service
Richard Noble - North Carolina State University
James Rice - North Carolina Cooperative Extension Service
Paul Sandifer - South Carolina Wildlife Resources
Jack Whetstone - South Carolina Cooperative Extension Service